

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/036,194	Applicant(s) CHRISTENSEN ET AL.
		Examiner PELING A. SHAW	Art Unit 2444

All Participants:

(1) PELING A. SHAW.

(2) Nathaniel T. Wallace (reg. no. 48,909).

Date of Interview: 10 January 2011

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant and examiner has reviewed the prosecution history and discussed any potential examiner's amended. No further examiner's amendment is determined for the case.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peling A Shaw/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)